COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4557S.05T

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Subject: County Officials; Elections; Secretary of State

Type: Original Date: June 3, 2022

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to elections.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2023 FY 2024 FY 202					
General Revenue*/**	Could exceed	More or less than	Could exceed		
	(\$2,109,500)	\$5,837,000	(\$3,344,500)		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General	Could exceed	More or less than	Could exceed		
Revenue	(\$2,109,500)	\$5,837,000	(\$3,344,500)		

^{*}Savings of approximately \$7 million in March 2024 (FY 2024) for <u>not</u> holding a Presidential Preference Primary Election (§115.123.2 & [§115.755 – §115.785])

^{**§2} allows the Speaker of the House and the President Pro Tempore of the Senate to obtain legal counsel other than from the Attorney General. There is potential that costs for outside counsel could exceed \$250,000, if utilized.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Other State Funds*	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
Technology Trust	(\$350,000)	\$0	\$0
Elections Administration			
Improvement	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net			
Effect on Other State	(\$350,000) to		
Funds	Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown

^{* §28.960.3} and §115.225.6 allow the Secretary of State to withhold transaction funds associated with maintenance of voter registration lists and certification for vendors from the Local Election Authorities (LEA). Oversight assumes this could be from various other state funds.

Withholding all these funds would be a potential loss to LEAs exceeding \$250,000 but be offset with savings to Other State Funds in the same amount.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **2** of **25** June 3, 2022

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Various Federal	Less than	\$0 to	\$0 or Less than
Funds	(\$1,600,000)	Unknown	(\$1,600,000)
Total Estimated Net	Less than	\$0 to	\$0 or Less than
Effect on All Federal	(\$1,600,000)	Unknown	(\$1,600,000)
Funds			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ⊠ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- ⊠ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2023 FY 2024 FY 202					
Local Government	Unknown to	Unknown to	Unknown to		
	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)		

L.R. No. 4557S.05T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 Page **3** of **25**

June 3, 2022

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

SEQ CHAPTER \h \r 10fficials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume this bill would allow voters who have moved from one county to another after the registration deadline for any given election to update their registration in person at the office of their local election authority on Election Day and be eligible to vote, so long as their existing registration was not previously cancelled. It also modifies the public voter information to only contain a voter's year of birth instead of the complete date.

These changes will require program modifications to the Missouri Centralized Voter Registration database. The Secretary of State's Office anticipates that these changes should be accomplished under existing contracts as part of a regular upgrade release cycle. However, it is possible that specialized programming outside of standard contracts may be required to accomplish the necessary changes. The cost of the technical resources that would be needed in such a situation is unknown.

SEQ CHAPTER \h \r 1 The **SOS** also states this bill also removes the requirement to hold a presidential preference primary election every four years. As a result, the State of Missouri would no longer be obligated to pay the costs of such an election.

The payment of election costs is subject to appropriation by the General Assembly. However, if they assume that the presidential preference primary were to be fully appropriated as it has been in years past, they anticipate a cost savings to the state of approximately \$7 million, based on actual expenditures from the 2020 Presidential Preference Primary. Such savings would next occur in FY 2024 as a result of not holding the presidential preference primary in March 2024.

Oversight has reflected, in this fiscal note, the state saving \$7 million due to removing the requirement to hold a PPP. The next scheduled Presidential Preference Primary election is March 2024 (FY24). Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost savings for reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2024.

Additionally, **SOS** states the bill provides that every local election authority and the SOS shall have a cybersecurity review of their office every two years. The text of the bill establishes that all cyber audits required in subsection 6 of 115.225 if conducted by the SOS shall be paid by state and federal funding. Currently the SOS has federal dollars to draw from for cyber specific expenses. The SOS will utilize federal funds to cover the costs directed by this provision. The estimated cost every two years to conduct cyber security testing would be \$1.6M. At any future time if there would be no federal funds available to cover the expenses the SOS would need to request GR through the appropriation process.

Oversight notes if a LEA fails to have a cyber-security review the SOS can publicize the fact and withhold funds for the violation unless the funds are federally mandated.

L.R. No. 4557S.05T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 Page **4** of **25** June 3, 2022

The proposal allows the SOS to require cyber security testing, including penetration testing on vendor machines, programs and systems. Failure of vendor to allow such testing could lead to revocation or withholding of certification.

The proposal allows the SOS to designate an organization for LEAs to join at no cost to provide increase in cyber security and election integrity efforts.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the impact estimated by SOS in the fiscal note.

Finally, **SOS** states this bill requires each voter to be affiliated with a political party on their voter registration (or be recorded as unaffiliated). This will require significant amendment to the Missouri Centralized Voter Registration Database to allow for the electronic data field "Party Affiliation" to be added along with internal processing, data table linking, sorting, searching and reporting modifications. These changes would have an estimated one-time cost of \$700,000 for seven (7) technical resources paid \$100 dollars per hour for 1000 hours each in FY23.

The SOS would split the funding for these changes between the Technology Trust Fund and Elections Administration Improvement Fund as follows:

Technology Trust Fund (TTF) – \$350,000 in FY23 Elections Administration Improvement Fund (EAIF) – \$350,000 in FY23

Oversight notes the money used from Elections Administration Improvement Fund (designated by OA as a federal fund) comes from an annually appropriated GR transfer. Should this transfer be withheld or not fully funded, or if the total cost of MCVR changes from multiple pieces of legislation exceeds the money available, the SOS reserves the right to offset or request additional resources for estimated fiscal note impacts during the budget process.

Oversight notes the money used from Elections Administration Improvement Fund comes from an annually appropriated GR transfer. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the cost of \$350,000 for MCVR Programming to GR. The impact on the Elections Administration Improvement Fund will net to zero.

SOS also notes subsection 4 of the proposed new section 115.628 establishes that an appropriate software be available at polling places beginning in 2023 to facilitate voters' initial party selections. This, in turn, requires that a portable electronic device (such as a tablet computer) be made available at each polling place to utilize the software provided. Since the proposed law requires this software (and by extension, the device bearing the software) to be available, the state must pay for this mandate as required by Article X, Section 21 of the Missouri Constitution. While a majority of counties currently utilize electronic poll books which could be adapted to add a party registration field, the SOS estimates that 33 counties with a total of 464 precincts

L.R. No. 4557S.05T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 Page **5** of **25** June 3, 2022

would need to be equipped with two devices per precinct to adequately accommodate voter turnout. Each device would cost about \$1,000 to purchase, for a total of up to \$928,000 in FY23.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the impact estimated by SOS in the fiscal note.

SOS also assumes, for each of the 2,326 polling places statewide (as of the 2020 presidential election), that at least two additional poll workers (one from each party) will need to be available to help coordinate the party selection process. Using an estimate of \$125 as the average poll worker stipend (based on a previous survey of local election authorities), at least \$581,500 in state funds will be required to pay the poll workers operating the devices bearing the software for each election. These poll worker stipends will at the very least be paid twice in FY25 for the 2024 primary and general elections. The state may also be required by Article X, Section 21 of the Missouri Constitution to pay for the use of additional poll workers at municipal elections, in which case this amount will be added once to each fiscal year to cover the 2023, 2024, and 2025 municipal elections.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the impact of Up to \$581,500 in FY23, \$1,163,000 in FY24, and \$1,744,500 in FY25 as estimated by the SOS in the fiscal note.

In addition, **SOS** has noted in response to similar proposals that this would require all direct-record electronic voting machines (DREs) be removed from service by January 1, 2024, with the exception of any machine used solely for disabled voters. Based on most recent survey conducted in 2020, there are 330 DREs in service statewide. All local election authorities (LEAs) which disclosed the use of DREs on the survey have already replaced or have indicated that they are actively planning to replace those machines prior to the date specified in the bill. Therefore, the SOS does not anticipate being required to cover the costs under Article X, Section 21, but will provide assistance to those LEAs using existing grant programs.

Oversight notes this response from the SOS is different from previous years. SOS' response to similar proposals in 2021 (i.e. HB 925) included a per-machine replacement cost of \$5,000 for the 330 DRE's in service statewide (for a total of \$1.65 million). Oversight will utilize SOS' assumption that this change is already in progress and that this bill will not create a material additional fiscal impact to the state.

The **SOS** also assumes many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **6** of **25** June 3, 2022

Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state:

§115.151.3

Requires the Department to implement an electronic transmission process for voter registration application information to be submitted to the election authority within 3 days of application.

The Department implemented an electronic file transmission program with SOS in March 2022 that meets this requirement and no further action is required for implementation of this legislative change.

§115.155.2

Requires the Department to ask an optional question of whom the applicants political parties are affiliated with if they do not wish to answer the clerk can choose unaffiliated with a political party. This information will be transmitted with the applicant's application to Secretary of State.

§115.628.3

Requires the Department beginning January 1, 2023, to amend the voter registration application form to include a choice of political party affiliation.

Administrative Impact §115.155.2 and §115.628.3 with effective date 01/01/2023

To implement the changes to remove/modify the voter registration application data collection at the time of driver license, nondriver license and permit issuance the Department would be required to:

- Update policies, procedures, and the Uniform License Issuance Manual (ULIM);
- Complete business requirements and design documents to modify the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) issuance system and vendor supported remote renewal application;
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing of the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) issuance system;
- Modify the electronic transmission process for voter registration application;
- Submit change request and receive final quote for vendor to completed modifications to the remote renewal application to collect the new optional data field;
- Modify central offices system to accept new file from vendor that includes new field and response data for political affiliation;
- Train staff.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **7** of **25** June 3, 2022

FY	2023	– Driver	License	Bureau

Research/Data Assistant	300 hrs. @ \$16.30 per hr.	=\$4,890
Research/Data Analyst	360 hrs. @ \$24.29 per hr.	=\$8,744
Administrative Manager	80 hrs. @ \$26.37 per hr.	<u>=\$2,110</u>
Total		\$15,744

FY 2023 – Personnel Services Bureau

Associate Research/Data Analyst	20 hrs. @ \$19.47 per hr.	=\$389
Associate Research/Data Analyst	10 hrs. @ \$19.47 per hr.	<u>=\$195</u>
Total		\$584

Total Costs \$12,595

Revenue Impact §115.155.2 and §115.628.3

The Department is scheduled to implement contractor provided digital license and remote renewal applications in August 2022 that incorporate the current mandatory voter application data elements. To implement the provisions of these sections to add a data collection field for political affiliation in January 2023 the Department will be required to submit a change request to the remote renewal application vendor and obtain a quote for the application modification. Since the current program is not fully implemented a project change order quote to determine estimated hours to complete is not available. The contracted vendor cost for a change order after implementation is \$175 per hour.

Oversight will reflect a one-time unknown cost less than \$250,000 in FY 2023 in order for DOR to implement the provisions of sections §115.155.2 and §115.628.3 to add a data collection field for political affiliation in January 2023.

DOR also states:

§115.427.2(1)

The proposed change removes appropriation language and the requirement for an individual to sign a statement certifying the individual has no other form of personal identification that meets the current requirements of §115.427.2(1) when applying for a Nondriver identification card for voting transactions.

This will result in reduced processing fees for local contract offices by eliminating the currently allowed reimbursement process and may allow persons to obtain multiple no cost nondriver documents.

Administrative Impact

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **8** of **25** June 3, 2022

Currently the Department is required to have the individual who is applying for a Nondriver license for voting purposes, sign a statement at time of application, certifying under penalty of perjury that they have no other form of personal identification that would meet the current requirements of §115.427.2(1).

To implement the proposed change, the Department would be required to:

- Update policies, procedures, and the Uniform License Issuance Manual (ULIM);
- Update forms, manuals, and the Department website;
- Complete business requirements and design documents to modify the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) issuance system;
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing of the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) issuance system;
- Train staff.

FY 2023 - Driver License Bureau

Research/Data Assistant	220 hrs. @ \$16.30 per hr.	=\$3,586
Research/Data Analyst	260 hrs. @ \$24.29 per hr.	=\$6,315
Administrative Manager	80 hrs. @ \$26.37 per hr.	<u>=\$2,110</u>
Total		\$12,011

FY 2023 - Personnel Services Bureau

Associate Research/Data Analyst	20 hrs. @ \$19.47 per hr.	=\$389
Associate Research/Data Analyst	10 hrs. @ \$19.47 per hr.	<u>=\$195</u>
Total		\$584

Total Costs \$12,595

Oversight notes that IT costs for §115.427.2(1) and §115.155 according to **DOR** are contracted at \$95 per hour. This section would result in \$13,441 (141.48 hours x \$95) in FY 2023.

Revenue Impact

DOR states currently persons who already hold an acceptable identification for voting are not allowed the no cost nondriver for voting since they cannot complete the required affidavit statement. The removal of this limitation may allow persons who already have a valid driver license or other eligible document to apply for the no cost nondriver for voting. The estimated number of potential applicants who may have a current acceptable identification document for voting and yet request an NDL for voting purposes is 53. This number was determined by reviewing the maximum office denial count statewide in 2021.

The estimated loss of revenue below reflects the office transaction fee and office processing fee since currently the offices are reimbursed for processing fees related to no fee nondriver for voting transactions not collected at the time of issuance.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **9** of **25** June 3, 2022

Potential annual loss of NDL Transaction Fee = $$6.00 \times 53 =$ \$318

10 months (FY23) = \$265

Total Loss of State General Revenue FY 24, FY 25 and on-going \$318

Potential savings from General Revenue by removing reimbursement of processing fees to license offices for current issuance volumes of nondriver for voting documents would be:

12.00 x 1,001(948 annual NDL Voting issuance + 53 denials) = 12,012 potential revenue savings

Vendor per card cost including postage for NDL $2.44112 \times 53 = 129$ annually FY 24, 25 and on-going (this is assuming thee applicants would not have completed application today if fee not waived).

This impact could potentially increase on election years when more citizens will utilize the provisions.

**Oversight notes that there may be a potential increase in loss of revenue during an election year when more citizens may utilize the provisions. According to DOR, the denial count statewide out of 182 offices was 1,442 persons YTD in 2020 (Presidential Election Year). The loss of revenue is reflected below:

Total Affect to State General Revenue FY 23, FY 24 and on-going	\$8,652
Office Processing Fee (Savings) = \$12.00 x 1,442=	<u>\$17,304</u>
NDL Transaction Fee (Loss) = $6.00 \times 1,442$ =	(\$ 8,652)

Oversight assumes that Department of Revenue will be able to accomplish the requirements of §115.427.2(1) with existing resources; however, during presidential election years, the cumulative impact may require additional appropriations. Oversight also assumes the effects on the General Revenue Fund would not be material.

Section 2.

Officials from the **Missouri House of Representatives** assume an unknown fiscal impact, as they do not know if the Speaker would need to secure outside counsel and they have no way of estimating that amount.

Officials from the **Missouri Senate** assume a new appropriation will need to be established to appropriate money for the purpose of paying legal fees. The last time legal fees were paid was in 2010-2011 and the cost was \$75,000 paid from the joint contingent appropriation. In 2017 attorney bids were obtained for potential legal counsel and the bids came in at \$200,000. The case was dropped and nothing was ever paid. These fees would have been paid from the senate contingent appropriation. Therefore, based on past bids from 2017 the Senate would assume costs to be around \$200,000 to be appropriated to the Senate for legal fees.

Oversight notes that Section 2 allows the Speaker of the House and the President pro tem of the Senate to obtain legal counsel other than from the Attorney General, with the cost of representation paid from funds appropriated for that purpose, to represent the House of Representatives or Senate in any action in which they intervene on behalf of the general assembly. Oversight notes that is difficult to determine the cost of outside counsel and if it would be utilized. This funding is also dependent on appropriation, Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 or unknown (secure counsel outside of AGO) that could exceed \$250,000 on the fiscal note.

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the Attorney General's Office, Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, Office of Administration-Budget and Planning, Department of Commerce and Insurance, Department of Economic Development, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Mental Health, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Corrections, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Public Safety-Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Department of Public Safety-Capitol Police, Department of Public Safety-Fire Safety, Department of Public Safety-Directors Office, Department of Public Safety-Gaming Commission, Department of Public Safety-Missouri National Guard, Department of Public Safety-Missouri Highway Patrol, Department of Public Safety-Veterans Commission, Department of Public Safety-State Emergency Management Agency, Department of Social Services, Missouri Department of Agriculture, Missouri Department of Conservation, Missouri Ethics Commission, Missouri Department of Transportation, MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System, Office of the State Public Defender, University of Missouri, Office of the State Treasurer, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Joint Committee on Education, Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement, Legislative Research, Oversight Division, Missouri Lottery Commission, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority, Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, Missouri State Employee's Retirement System, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Office of the State Auditor, and State Tax Commission each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of the Governor** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this Office.

Officials from the City of Claycomo, City of Kansas City, and St. Louis County each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these subdivisions.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **11** of **25** June 3, 2022

Officials from the **Kanas City Board of Elections** assume if this legislation becomes law, they would need at least six to eight additional staff to process the DMV affidavits, conduct the registration audits, process new absentee laws and handle cyber security audits at an average of \$50,000 per employee including benefits. The total cost would range would be \$300,000 to \$400,000 per year.

In response to similar legislation, SB 154 from 2021, officials from the **Kansas City Election Board** assumed if the proposal becomes law, up to four new permanent employees would need to be hired at \$40,000 each, including benefits. All Election Day tablets and registration database would need to be re-programmed at \$10,000 and all Election Day judges would need to be retrained at \$35,000. Postage and printing to add party affiliation information to all 220,000 registered voters in the database would increase by \$80,000. Legal notices to notify the public about the changes to the Primary election would be \$10,000.

In response to similar legislation, HCS for HB 2140, officials from the St. Louis County Board of Elections assumed the proposed section 28.960 is vague at best and could have negative financial ramifications for county election authorities. This proposed section allows the SOS to conduct discretionary audits of voter records in any Missouri county. The section states that the SOS shall verify whether a voter is alive, where the voter resides, and whether the voter is entitled to vote. This may sound straightforward to the uninitiated, but verifying these things can be difficult in practice. For example, many Missouri voters registered to vote decades ago before the last four digits of the Social Security Number were required when registering. It can be quite difficult to match a death record against a voter with no Social Security Number in the voter database. This same type of ambiguity also exists in questions of residency. Presumably the Secretary of State's office, which heretofore has had no statutory role in registering voters or maintaining the voter list, could make some arbitrary decision that a certain voter or voters should be deleted and the local election authority may disagree with this decision. In a case such as this, the local election authority would in the precarious position of having funding withheld. This provision does not detail what funding may be withheld or how much. In a worst case scenario the Secretary of State could withhold the State's portion of the potential election funding for the August and November 2022 elections. For St. Louis County this would be about \$1.4 million.

Section 115.160.3 will have the DMV electronically transfer voter registrations to the office would save 350 hours of work a year. At the lowest temporary employee salary, this would save \$13,000 annually.

115.022 would prevent the Board from accepting private money for election administration. This could have a potential impact from \$0-\$2 million.

In response to similar legislation, HCS for HB 2140, officials from **Platte County Board of Elections** stated in 2020, they received about \$40,000 in funding that would not be allowed in

L.R. No. 4557S.05T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 Page **12** of **25** June 3, 2022

the proposed legislation. The funding was necessary due to added expenses of conducting an election in the midst of a pandemic.

In addition, several of their poll sites in private buildings charge no or reduced rent for Election Day. This legislation could increase costs if election authorities have to pay something for currently free poll sites, or increase costs a lot if election authorities have to pay a fair price for all their poll sites in private buildings.

Oversight notes that the Secretary of State may receive (and distribute to counties based on the number of registered voters in each county.) private funds in any election year in which the amount of funds appropriated for elections, as required by law, is less than the amounts appropriated for elections during the previous election year

In response to similar legislation, HB 680 from 2021, officials from the **Platte County Board of Elections** assumed the 2020 Presidential Preference Primary (PPP) cost about \$85,000. That would be the savings for each PPP not held. (Sections 115.755 through 115.785)

In response to similar legislation, HB 680 from 2021, officials from the **Johnson County Clerk's Office** assumed the removal of the Presidential Preference Primary would create a savings of \$43,200 for Johnson County alone. This cost will increase in 2024 due to increased costs for polling place rent, election judge pay and increased costs for ballot printing and programming. Since reinstating the PPP in 2000, the voter turnout in Johnson County has fluctuated from a low turnout of 6% in 2012 to their highest turnout of 43% in 2016. The cost to taxpayers far outweighs the justification for holding the presidential preference primary, due to a lack of participation by voters and an overall feeling that it is an unnecessary endeavor.

In response to similar legislation, HCS for HB 2140, officials from **Jackson County Board of Elections** stated currently the Board uses ballot marking devices for absentee voting. Limiting the use of the ADA ballot marking devices to only those with disabilities will increase ballot printing costs substantially.

The Jackson County Election Board can have up to three hundred (300) different ballot styles in an election and would have to print absentee ballots for each ballot style for absentee voting at a cost of .35 cents per ballot. The Board has no way of knowing who will be voting absentee so at least 2% of each ballot style would have to be printed to cover the walk-in and permanent absentee voters. Currently the Board prints enough absentee ballots for permanent/disabled voters to mail to them and have a small amount for walk-in absentees if the walk-in chooses a paper ballot over the ballot marking device. The ballot marking device allows them to pull up any individual's particular ballot style while also producing a paper ballot at a substantial cost savings.

The Jackson County Election Board along with other Missouri election authorities have invested millions of dollars in ADA machines which are not widely used at the polling locations so

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **13** of **25** June 3, 2022

making use of them in their absentee department is fiscally responsible and their voters like the machines.

Cost to Election Authority/County

Small Elections \$3,500 per election/per year Large Elections \$10,000 per election/per year

In response to similar legislation, SB 907 from 2022, officials from the **Jackson County Election Board** assumed the State of Missouri will appropriate funding needed to the Missouri Secretary of State for changes needed to the Missouri Centralized Voter Registration system to allow party affiliation and/or lack of affiliation. The Jackson County Board of Election Commissioners would see an increase in printing of no less than \$5,000 and no more than \$15,000 to make changes to current poll notification cards, registration forms and any other printing changes.

In addition, if a mailing to all registered voters in Eastern Jackson County is required to obtain political party affiliation information an additional \$140,000 would be required for postage, envelopes and printing of informational material.

Part-time staff to update party affiliations the first year of implementation:

250,000 Register Voters

10 Part-Time Staff @ \$18.00 per hour to add the party affiliation and assist in answering phone calls associated with the change. \$8,000.00

Computers for additional staff.

\$12,000.00

Total Fiscal Note:

\$165,000 - \$175,000

In response to similar legislation, SB 571 from 2020, officials from the **Henry County Clerk's Office** assumed administrative costs of \$3,500 to maintain and change affiliation requests and postage of \$1,000.

In response to similar legislation, SB 907 from 2022, officials from the **St. Louis County Board of Elections** assume they will have to buy new software to re-program their electronic poll books and mail registrations, they believe this will have a fiscal impact of \$50,000.

In response to similar legislation, SB 571 from 2020, officials from the **Livingston County Clerk** assumed a fiscal impact of \$39,590 in 2020, \$15,140 in FY 2021, and \$33,250 in 2022 for additional employee hours, postage, media, and security at polling places.

L.R. No. 4557S.05T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 Page **14** of **25** June 3, 2022

In response to similar legislation, SB 571 from 2020, officials from the **Laclede County Clerk** assumed an estimated fiscal impact of \$40,000-\$50,000 for postage, media, and educational publications to inform voters of change

In response to similar legislation, SB 670 from 2022, officials from the **St. Charles County Election Authority** assumed Section 28.960.3 and Section 115.225.6 state that the SOS may withhold funds from the Local Election Authorities (LEAs). The language does not state which funds may be withheld. As the SOS distributes federal grant funds, the State's "Fair Share" of election costs when appropriated, the Efficiency Grant, absentee mail postage reimbursements and reimbursements for updating the state MCVR database, any and all of these funds could be withheld by the SOS from the LEA. These funds vary by size of election and LEA and are difficult to calculate a total. Withholding all these funds in 2020 would have cost St. Charles County Election Authority \$1,047,000.

In response to similar legislation, SB 668 from 2022, officials from the **St. Louis City Board of Elections** assumed the proposal prohibits election authorities from receiving funding from extragovernmental sources. While it is unclear what sources could be available in the future for such funding, the inability to access such a possibility would have a negative fiscal impact on the bipartisan St. Louis City Election Board to access funds. Requiring photo ID for voters would likely increase the amount of provisional votes cast which would need to be processed by election board staff within the certification period. Increased staffing and possible overtime would have a negative fiscal impact. This provisions also eliminates the duty of the SOS to inform the public of the new ID requirement; lack of information would likely lead to confusion on the part of the voter; and lead to casting of more provisional ballots.

Oversight notes that §115.160.3 states the Director of Revenue shall utilize electronic voter registration application forms and provide for secure electronic transfer of voter registration information to election authorities. As stated above by the St. Louis County Board of Elections there would be a savings as a result of electronic registrations. Therefore, Oversight will reflect an unknown positive impact to local election authorities in the fiscal note due to this provision.

Oversight notes that §28.960.3 and §115.225.7 state that the SOS may withhold transaction funds associated with maintenance of the voter registration lists and certification for vendors from the LEAs. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential loss of \$0 (no funds withheld by SOS) to Unknown (funds withheld by SOS) to the LEAs on the fiscal note. Oversight will reflect a corresponding potential savings of \$0 (no funds withheld by SOS) to Unknown (funds withheld by SOS) to the Other State Funds and Federal Funds on the fiscal note.

Oversight also notes that at the beginning of January 1, 2024, no electronic voting systems shall be used. The use of remaining direct-record electronic voting machines shall be phased out upon mechanical failure. Based on most recent survey conducted in 2020, there are 330 DREs in service statewide. All local election authorities (LEAs) which disclosed the use of DREs on the survey have already replaced or have indicated that they are actively planning to replace those

L.R. No. 4557S.05T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 Page **15** of **25** June 3, 2022

machines prior to the date specified in the bill. Therefore, Oversight does not anticipate fiscal impact to LEAs for this provision.

Oversight assumes there could be an increased cost to local election authorities in order to implement changes for party affiliations. Oversight cannot determine the number of voters that will designate or change party affiliation during a given cycle, therefore will reflect an unknown cost to local election authorities.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local election authorities and county clerks were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 $\,$

Page **16** of **25** June 3, 2022

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON TECHNOLOGY TRUST FUND	(\$350,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Cost – SOS §115.225 MCVR Programming p. 4	(\$350,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
TECHNOLOGY TRUST FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT – State Government continued	FY 2023 (10 Mo.)	FY 2024	FY 2025
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$2,109,500)	More or less than \$5,837,000	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$3,344,500)
Cost – §2 SEN/MHR legal counsel p.10	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
Cost – DOR §115.155.2 and §115.628.3 political party affiliation data collection fields p.7	(Unknown Less than \$250,000)	\$0	\$0
Cost – SOS §115.225 Cyber Security Testing p. 15	\$0	\$0	\$0 or (\$1,600,000)
Cost - SOS §115.155 Additional poll workers p. 5	(\$581,500) \$0	(\$1,163,000)	(\$1,744,500)
Cost – SOS §115.155 Portable Electronic Devices p. 5	(\$928,000)	\$0	\$0
Transfer Out - to Elections Administration Improvement Fund - §115.155 MCVR Programming p. 4	(\$350,000)	\$0	\$0
Savings - SOS §115.123.2 Reimbursement of local election authority election costs for PPP p. 3	\$0	More than \$7,000,000	\$0
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2023 (10 Mo.)	FY 2024	FY 2025

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 $\,$

Page **17** of **25** June 3, 2022

ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATION IMPROVEMENT FUND			
<u>Transfer In</u> - from General Revenue - §115.155 MCVR Programming p.4	\$350,000	\$0	\$0
Cost - §115.155 MCVR Programming p.4	(\$350,000)	\$0	\$0
NET EFFECT ON ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATION			
IMPROVEMENT FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
OTHER STATE FUNDS			
Savings – §28.960.3 and §115.225.6 Potential withholding by SOS of "Fair Share" funding to LEAs p. 9	\$0 to Unknown	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>Unknown</u>	\$0 to Unknown
ECTIMATED NET PERECT ON	CO to University	CO 4 0	60.4 °
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0 to <u>Unknown</u>	\$0 to <u>Unknown</u>	\$0 to <u>Unknown</u>

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878 $\,$

Page **18** of **25** June 3, 2022

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
continued	(10 Mo.)		
FEDERAL FUNDS			
<u>Savings</u> – §28.960.3 and §115.225.6	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
Potential withholding by SOS of "Fair	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Share" funding to LEAs p. 9			
<u>Cost</u> – SOS §115.225		\$0	\$0 or
Cyber Security Testing p. 15	(\$1,600,000)		(\$1,600,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	Less than	\$0 to	\$0 or Less
FEDERAL FUNDS	<u>(\$1,600,000)</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	than
			(\$1,600,000)

Page **19** of **25** June 3, 2022

SUBDIVISIONS	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL	Unknown to	Unknown to	Unknown to
provisions of the bill	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Costs – to implement various	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
Cost – LEA – §115.155 Implementation for registering party affiliations p. 15	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Loss - Local Election Authorities - §115.123.2 reimbursement of election costs for PPP p. 3	\$0	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	\$0
Loss – §28.960.3 and §115.225.6 Potential withholding by SOS of "Fair Share" funding to LEAs p. 14	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
Savings - §115.160.3 Electronic registrations from DOR p. 14	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Savings - Local Election Authorities §115.123.2 - cost of a PPP election p. 3	\$0	\$0 or More than \$7,000,000	\$0
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2023 (10 Mo.)	FY 2024	FY 2025

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

There could be an impact from the potential statewide loss of Contract Office Processing Fee monies from additional no fee nondriver for voting applications and removal of appropriations language that previously covered the reimbursement of processing fees to contracted license offices. With this proposed language the DOR assumes they will no longer return those fees since funding is not allowed for such and the contractor may see a reduction based on their office nondriver for voting volume.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill modifies election laws. In its main provisions the bill:

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **20** of **25** June 3, 2022

- (1) Authorizes the Secretary of State (SOS) to quarterly audit voter registration lists and require election authorities to remove improper names. Audit procedures and deadlines are specified in the bill and noncompliance could result in a withhold of funds (Section 28.960, RSMo);
- (2) Prohibits amendment or modification of Chapter 115 in the 26 weeks preceding a presidential election (Section 115.004);
- (3) Removes obsolete references to ballot cards and requires voting machines to be air gapped as a security measure. The term "air gapped" is specified in the bill (Section 115.013, 115.417, and 115.447);
- (4) Prohibits the state and its political subdivisions from receiving or expending private money, excluding in-kind donations as defined in the bill, for preparing, administering, or conducting an election or registering voters. Notwithstanding this prohibition, if the Secretary of State is permitted to receive private funds in any election year in which the amount of funds appropriated for elections, as required by law, is less than the amount of funds that was appropriated for elections during the previous election year. Such funds received may not exceed the difference plus ten percent (10%) of the amount appropriated in the previous year. Funds shall then be distributed to counties based on the number of registered voters each county. Funds may be withheld from any Local Election Authority in violation of these provisions. (Section 115.022);
- (5) Exempts board of election commissioners and county clerk employees from the requirement to reside in or register within the jurisdiction in which they serve (Sections 115.045 and 115.051);
- (6) Allows appointment of election judges who reside outside the requisite election authority's jurisdiction without the need for written consent from the election authority in whose jurisdiction the potential judge resides. Procedures for selecting election judges from lists submitted by political party committees are specified (Sections 115.081 and 115.085);
- (7) Repeals a provision that provides that in a presidential primary election, challengers may collect information about the party ballot selected by a voter and may disclose party affiliation information after the polls close (Section 115.105);
- (8) Repeals the provision that requires an election for a presidential primary under Sections 115.755-115.785 to be held on the second Tuesday after the first Monday in March of each presidential election year (Section 115.123);
- (9) Repeals specified registration exceptions for intrastate new residents (Section 115.135);
- (10) Requires the Department of Revenue to use electronic applications when sending materials to election authorities under the existing voter registration program in place at the Division of Motor Vehicles and Drivers Licensing, within the Department of Revenue. No person with documentation showing non-citizenship will be offered registration to vote, and voter

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **21** of **25** June 3, 2022

information may be analyzed to avoid mistakes using the statewide voter registration database as specified in the bill. Electronic applications shall be sent no later than three business days after completion of a form. The electronic applications shall be secure and in a format compatible with the existing Voter Registration System under Section 115.158. The Secretary of State and Director of the Department of Revenue shall guarantee the security and transmission of electronic data. Images of signatures may be used for the purpose of voter registration (Sections 115.151, 115.160, and 115.960);

- (11) Requires, beginning January 1, 2023, that any person registering to vote must declare a political party affiliation from the established political parties or declare themselves unaffiliated. If a voter does not designate any political party affiliation, then the election authority shall designate the voter as unaffiliated. Voter identification cards will now contain a voter's political party affiliation. A voter can change his or her political party affiliation at any time by notifying his or her election authority in a signed, written notice substantially similar to the process for changing a voter's address under section 115.165, or when checking in to vote at any election. Prior to January 1, 2025, local election authorities must notify registered voters of the political party affiliation opportunities now offered using all current election mailings that would otherwise be mailed to registered voters (Sections 115.155, 115.163, 115.168, and 115.628);
- (12) Restricts voter information released by election authorities by prohibiting the release of the date of birth of voters, instead allowing only the release of the year of birth, as well as prohibiting use of released information for commercial purposes. Specified voter history information will be forwarded to the Secretary of State within three months after an election (Section 115.157);
- (13) Allows registered voters to file change of address forms in person after the deadline to register to vote including on election day at the Office of the election authority if they provide a type of personal identification under Subsection 1 of Section 115.427 which involves photographic identification (Section 115.165);
- (14) Prohibits payment for soliciting voter registration applications and requires registration with the Secretary of State's office for soliciting more than 10 voter registration applications as specified in the bill (Section 115.205);
- (15) Beginning January 1, 2023, the bill requires the use of a paper ballot that is hand-marked by the voter or in another manner authorized by Chapter 115. Any election authority with touchscreen direct-recording electronic vote-counting machines may continue using such machines already in their possession until January 1, 2024. Each election authority shall, once every two years, allow a cyber-security review of their office by the Secretary of State or an entity that specializes in cyber security reviews and the Secretary of State shall also allow such a cyber-security review of its office by an entity that specializes in cyber security reviews. The Secretary of State will have the authority to require cyber security testing of vendors, upon appropriation. The Secretary of State may require that all election authorities be a member of an

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **22** of **25** June 3, 2022

organization that provides information to increase cyber security and election integrity efforts (Sections 115.225 and 115.237);

- (16) For the purpose of processing absentee ballots cast by voters in person in the election authority's office that is deemed designated as a polling place, the election authority may cause voting machines, if used, to be put in order, set, adjusted, tested, and made ready for voting within one business day of the printing of absentee ballots as provided in Section 115.281 (Section 115.257);
- (17) Defines absentee ballots as those authorized to be cast away from a polling place or in the office of the election authority or other authorized location designated by the election authority. References to Space Force are included for purposes of voting processes and electronic ballot information authorized for the Armed Forces (Sections 115.275 and 115.902);
- (18) Allows use of absentee ballots to vote in person with a form of personal identification as specified in the bill. Notarization requirements are also specified in the bill depending upon the excuse for voting absentee and whether or not voting is conducted in person. Affidavit forms are modified. No individual or group shall solicit voters regarding absentee ballot applications and such applications shall not be pre-filled and provided to voters (Sections 115.277, 115.279, and 115.283);
- (19) Determines when absentee ballots are deemed to be cast, distinctions are made between absentee ballots received by the election authority in person and absentee ballots received through a common carrier (Section 115.286);
- (20) Allows voter assistance in cases of temporary confinement due to illness or physical disability on election day, but repeals specific COVID-19 references to mail-in ballots that have expired (Sections 115.287, 115.291, and 115.652);
- (21) This bill prohibits the use of mail-in ballots under executive or administrative order. Expired provisions are repealed relating to the use of mail-in ballots for the 2020 general election and absentee voting during the 2020 general election for voters who have contracted COVID-19 or who are at risk of contracting or transmitting COVID-19 (Sections 115.285, 115.302, and 115.652);
- (22) Repeals obsolete intersectional references (Section 115.349);
- (23) Specifies photographic identification requirements for voting a regular ballot or absentee ballot in person, but allows use of provisional ballots with any type of documentation currently allowed for voting. A line item appropriation for the Secretary of State's Office regarding notice of personal identification is repealed. Certain affidavit requirements are repealed and requirements for provisional ballots are specified in the bill (Section 115.427);
- (24) Specifies that once a ballot is submitted, then it is deemed cast (115.435);

June 3, 2022

- (25) Repeals reference to a presidential preference primary and provides that a series of caucuses will be conducted to nominate a candidate for president (Sections 115.776 and 115.904); and
- (26) Provides that if any provision of Section A or the application thereof to anyone or to any circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of those sections and the application of such provisions to others or other circumstances will not be affected thereby (Section 1);
- (27) Provides that a public official, as defined in the bill, has no authority in any civil action in a state or federal court to compromise or settle an action, consent to any condition, or agree to any order in connection therewith if the compromise, settlement, condition, or order nullifies, suspends, enjoins, alters, or conflicts with any provision of chapters 115 to 128. Any compromise, settlement, condition, or order that a public official agrees with that violates this prohibition is null and void. Nothing in this section should be construed to limit or restrict any powers granted by articles III or VIII or the Missouri constitution.

Requires parties to provide a copy of the pleading to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President pro tem of the Senate within fourteen days of filing the pleading with the court in cases challenging the constitutionality of a statute facially or as applied, cases challenging a statute as violating or being preempted by federal law, or cases challenging the construction or validity of a statute, as part of a claim or affirmative defense. The Speaker of the House and the President pro tem of the Senate may intervene to defend against the action at any time in the action as a matter of right by serving motion upon the parties as provided by applicable rules of civil procedure.

The Speaker of the House and President pro tem of the Senate may intervene at any time in an action on behalf of their respective chambers, or acting jointly, intervene in an action on behalf of the General Assembly. They may obtain legal counsel other than from the Attorney General, with the cost of representation paid from funds appropriated for that purpose, to represent the respective chamber or General Assembly in any action. However, no individual member, or group of members, of the Senate or the House of Representatives, except the President pro tem and the Speaker, as provided under this bill, shall intervene in an action described in this bill or obtain legal counsel at public expense under this bill in the member's or group's capacity as a member or members of the Senate or the House of Representatives.

The participation of the Speaker of the House or the President pro tem of the senate in any state or federal action, as a party or otherwise, does not constitute a waiver of the legislative immunity or legislative privilege of any member, officer, or staff of the General Assembly (Section 2); and

(28) All audits required by subsection 6 of section 115.225 that are conducted by the secretary of state must be paid for by state and federal funding (Section 3).

Section 1 of this proposal is a severability clause.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **24** of **25** June 3, 2022

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office

Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission

Office of Administration-Budget and Planning

Department of Commerce and Insurance

Department of Economic Development

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Corrections

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Revenue

Department of Public Safety-Alcohol and Tobacco Control

Department of Public Safety-Capitol Police

Department of Public Safety-Fire Safety

Department of Public Safety-Director's Office

Department of Public Safety-Gaming Commission

Department of Public Safety-Missouri National Guard

Department of Public Safety-Missouri Highway Patrol

Department of Public Safety Veterans Commission

Department of Public Safety-State Emergency Management Agency

Department of Social Services

Missouri Department of Agriculture

Missouri Department of Conservation

Missouri Ethics Commission

Missouri Department of Transportation

MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System

Office of the Secretary of State

Office of the State Public Defender

Office of the State Auditor

Missouri House of Representatives

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Joint Committee on Education

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement

Legislative Research

Oversight Division

Missouri Senate

Missouri Lottery Commission

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1878

Page **25** of **25** June 3, 2022

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority

Missouri Office of Prosecution Services

Missouri State Employee's Retirement System

Office of the State Courts Administrator

State Tax Commission

Office of the State Treasurer

University of Missouri

City of Claycomo

City of Kansas City

Kansas City Board of Elections

St. Louis County Clerk

Platte County Board of Elections

Jackson County Board of Elections

St. Charles Election Authority

St. Louis County Board of Elections

Julie Morff

Director

June 3, 2022

Ross Strope Assistant Director June 3, 2022