

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1834-02  
Bill No.: HCS for HB 904  
Subject: Insurance - Health  
Type: Original  
Date: April 16, 2019

---

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the “Ensuring Access to High Quality Care for the Treatment of Substance Use Disorders Act”.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
General Revenue	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
State Road (0320)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>FY 2022</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>FY 2022</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>FY 2022</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §§191.1164 - 191.1168 - "Ensuring Access to High Quality Care for the Treatment of Substance Use Disorder Act"

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the proposed legislation removes any reference to the DOC and adds drug courts and diversion programs. It is assumed that the language is intended to remove the DOC from the impact of this legislation. However, §191.1165.5 might still be interpreted to include the DOC.

For purposes of this fiscal note the DOC assumes no fiscal impact.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the no fiscal impact DOC provided for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** state at current utilization, MoDOT is projected to see a zero to minimal negative fiscal impact. This is based on consultant-supplied analysis examining utilization levels. If utilization increases, however, the negative fiscal impact could increase.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the costs provided by MoDOT as \$0 to (Unknown) for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety (DPS), Missouri State Highway Patrol (MHP)** anticipates the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. However, the MHP will defer to the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT), Employee Benefits Section for response on behalf of the Highway Patrol. Please see MoDOT's fiscal note response for the potential fiscal impact of this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state the proposed legislation may result in some fiscal impact but there is no way to quantify the amount at the current time. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the costs for the OSCA as \$0 to (Unknown) for fiscal note purposes.

**Oversight** notes that the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** has stated the proposal is not anticipated to have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. However, should the extent of the work be more than anticipated, the DHSS would

ASSUMPTION (continued)

request additional appropriation and/or FTE through the budget process. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this organization.

**Oversight** notes that the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Social Services**, the **Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan** and the **Missouri Department of Conservation** have each stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these organizations.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state the legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

**Oversight** assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
<b>GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<u>Costs - OSCA (§191.1165) p. 3</u>			
Increase in court costs	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0 to</u></b> <b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to</u></b> <b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to</u></b> <b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>
<b>STATE ROAD FUND (0320)</b>			
<u>Costs - MoDOT (§191.1165) p. 3</u>			
Increase in medical plan prescription drug costs	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE ROAD FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0 to</u></b> <b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to</u></b> <b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to</u></b> <b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This will directly impact small businesses that provide insurance to their employees if the cost of the insurance increases.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes the "Ensuring Access to High Quality Care for the Treatment of Substance Use Disorders Act."

This bill requires that medication-assisted treatment (MAT) services shall include pharmacologic therapies. A formulary used by a health insurer or pharmacy benefits manager, or a benefit coverage in the case of medications dispensed through an opioid treatment program shall include medications specified in the proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

All MAT medications required for compliance must be placed on the lowest cost-sharing tier of the formulary managed by the health insurer or the pharmacy benefits manager.

MAT services shall not be subject to: (1) Annual or lifetime dollar limitations; (2) Financial requirements and quantitative treatment limitations that do not comply with the Mental Health Parity and Addition Equity Act of 2008; (3) Step therapy that conflicts with a prescribed course of treatment; and (4) Prior authorization for MAT services.

The health care benefits and MAT services required by the bill applies to all health insurance plans in the state.

Drug courts and other diversion programs that provide for alternatives to jail or prison for persons with a substance use disorder shall be required to ensure all persons under their care assessed for substance use disorders using standard diagnostic criteria by a licensed physician who actively treats patients with substance use disorders.

All health insurance companies and other payers must disclose which providers in its network provide MAT services and the level of care provided.

The Department of Insurance, within the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP), must require that provider networks meet time and distance standards and minimum wait time standards for providers of MAT services. When a health insurance plan is deemed inadequate under the requirements of the bill, the health insurer must treat the health care services an enrollee receives from an out-of-network provider as if the services were provided by an in-network provider. A health insurer must provide a determination to an enrollee for covered benefits for MAT services and for urgent care services for MAT from an out-of-network provider within 24 hours. All health coverage payers must submit an annual report to the DIFP.

This proposal contains a severability clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health and Senior Services  
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration  
Department of Mental Health  
Department of Corrections  
Department of Public Safety -  
    Missouri State Highway Patrol  
Department of Social Services  
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules  
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan  
Missouri Department of Conservation  
Missouri Department of Transportation  
Office of State Courts Administrator  
Office of Secretary of State



Kyle Rieman  
Director  
April 16, 2019

Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
April 16, 2019