

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Electe	ed Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGA	NIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MATT MORROW			PHONE NUME 417-862-5	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: SPRINGFIELD AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TITLE: PRESIDENT AND CEO				NT AND CEO
ADDRESS: 202 S. JOHN Q. HAMMONS PARKWAY				
CITY: SPRINGFIELD			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65806
EMAIL: Imustoe@springfiel	dchamber.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/25/20	DATE: 1 22 2:24 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

January 26, 2022 The Honorable Dan Shaul and members of the House Committee on Elections and Elected OfficialsHouse Committee on Elections and Elected Officials201 W Capitol Avenue, Room 313-1Jefferson City, MO 65101 Dear Chairman Shaul and members of the House Committee on Elections and Elected Officials:On behalf of the Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce and our nearly 1500member businesses, please accept this letter of support for House Joint Resolutions 70 and 71. It is vitally important that Missourians have access to the ballot and an ability to impact our lawmaking process. However, the current process for getting items on the ballot is imperfect and creates longterm consequences that put Missouri at a disadvantage with other states. Improvements to the process are necessary to provide clarity, certainty and accountability. The Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce and business community has advocated for initiative petition reforms for over a decade. The reforms included in several proposals being considered today will help improve an imperfect system by finding the balance that allows citizens to engage in the process and bring forward issues for voter consideration, while protecting our state's constitution from being permanently amended with faulty, complex, or confusing language. The improvement of Missouri's initiative petition system is crucial to the future of our state, and we encourage your support. Sincerely, Matt MorrowPresident and CEO.Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce202 S John Q Hammons ParkwaySpringfield, MO 65806



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DAT 1/2	E: 16/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Ele	cted Officials			·	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFOR	MATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ALLISON KELLER	RMAN		PHONE NU	MBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL: kellermanalli@gm	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		T DATE: 2022 1	1:57 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTE	R 610. RSMo.



allyson_adame@yahoo.com

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: DATE: **HJR 70** 1/26/2022 COMMITTEE: **Elections and Elected Officials** ☐ IN SUPPORT OF **✓** IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES **TESTIFYING: WITNESS NAME** INDIVIDUAL: WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: **ALLYSON ADAME** 817-600-5286 **BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:** TITLE: 172 BRIGHTHURST DR STATE: CHESTERFIELD 63005 MO ATTENDANCE: Written SUBMIT DATE: 1/26/2022 10:47 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose the bills HJR 102, HJR 70, HJR 71. You guys want to squeak into office with 50.02% of the vote and then make it harder for THE PEOPLE to have a say in democracy? These bills are anti-democratic. If amendments need 2/3 to pass then anyone in elected office should require 66% to get into office.



Elections and Elected Officials

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70 COMMITTEE: DATE: 1/26/2022

TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME	

WITNESS NAME					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:					
WITNESS NAME: AMY KUO HAMMERMAN		PHONE NUMBER 314-277-908	• ••		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: NATIONAL COUNCIL OF JEWISH WOMEN ST. LOUIS		TITLE:			
ADDRESS: 295 NORTH LINDBERGH BLVD.					
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63141		
EMAIL: akuo hammerman@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/25/202	TE: 2 8·34 AM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is Amy Kuo Hammerman, and I am submitting this testimony in opposition of HJR 70 on behalf of the National Council of Jewish Women St. Louis (NCJWSTL). NCJWSTL is a non-profit organization in metropolitan St. Louis, representing over 5,000 members and supporters throughout the state. We focus our work on community service, advocacy, education and philanthropy to improve the quality of life for women, children and families while supporting individual and civil rights, and have been doing so since 1895. HJR 70 increases threshold for passage of a citizen-initiated proposed amendment from a majority of votes cast to requiring a majority of total registered voters, while leaving the simple majority vote threshold for legislatively approved proposed amendments. For more than a century, Missouri citizens across the political spectrum have been able to have their voices heard through the initiative petition process. I have a difficult time understanding why legislators wish to fix something that is not broken. This resolution proposes increasing the threshold for a measure to pass from a majority to 2/3, among the most difficult in the country. This measure is both punitive and entirely unnecessary. Lawmakers should reject efforts to make direct democracy more difficult. NCJWSTL encourages you to vote no on HJR 70.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			ATE: /26/2022	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·		
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. AC DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE PHONE NUMBER: 314-440-9000				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX #1535				
CITY: O' FALLON		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63366	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 1/26/2022	≣: 11:51 PM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am in Full Opposition of this Bill. This Bill is very wrong for Missouri! This is Acting in a Dictator Manner of Legislation and Governance. This is taking away Control of Missourians. This is Very Wrong for Missouri! This is Bad Government Practice. This Disenfranchises Missourians and Takes Away the Right of the Residents to Change, Amend and Correct Our Valued Missouri State Constitution. I Urge ALL Members of the Election Committee and the House of Representatives to Vote Down this awful and Bad Proposed Legislation! This Goes Totally Against the Will of Six (6) Million Missourians and is a Direct Slap In the Face to Our State Constitution and Takes Away Control of Missourians Over Elected Officials and State Government. Soundly VOTE This Legislation Down To Defeat!



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BETH NEWMAN			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: hethemilynewman	@gmail com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D)ATE: 122 5:34 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I strongly oppose any legislation that makes the citizens initiative process more difficult. Frankly, I can't comprehend why a group of legislators would want to make it more difficult for the citizens of this state to organize and ask their fellow citizens to approve of changes to our laws. It's almost as if the Republicans don't want to hear from the citizens and continue to enact policy that does not have the support of the majority Missourians. Medicaid Expansion, anyone? The Republican Party likes to crow about "saving democracy." That's wonderful. I only wish their words matched their deeds.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BRIGITTE SHERIC	DAN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE: MO	ZIP:
EMAIL: gita.gone.mom@g	gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/26/20	DATE: 122 5:01 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

Vehemently opposed to legislation designed to overturn the will of Missouri voters.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: CHERYL ADELSTEIN		PHONE NUMB 314-442-38	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL TITLE: DEPUTY DIRI		DIRECTOR	
ADDRESS: 12 MILLSTONE CAMPUS DRIVE			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63146
EMAIL: cadelstein@jcrcstl.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/26/20	ATE: 22 11:40 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Jewish Community Relations Council (JCRC), guided by Jewish values, advocates, educates, collaborates and mobilizes action on issues important to the Jewish community, 60,000 strong in St. Louis. We are committed to pursuing religious tolerance, civic discourse and social justice in St. Louis and beyond. The Sage Hillel taught "Al tifros min hatzibur," Do not separate yourself from the community (Pirke Avot 2:5). Moreover, it is our responsibility to play an active role in our community and in choosing its leaders. Rabbi Yitzhak taught that "A ruler is not to be appointed unless the community is first consulted" (Babylonian Talmud Berachot 55a). Rabbi Yitzhak further explained that in the Torah, Bezalel could be chosen to build the Tabernacle only with the community's approval. This deeply embedded ethic of political participation has guided Jews to enthusiastically participate in the American electoral process. All of these House Resolutions would make it difficult for our people and all people in our state to participate in the electrical process. Our state constitution gives us the right to initiate legislative action through the petition process. This already onerous and costly process, has helped our community achieve some of its policy objectives, including making health care available to more of our citizens. By making it more difficult to certify a petition, by increasing the number of signatures by nearly 200,000 and increasing the threshold for approval of the initiative from a simple majority to two-thirds of the voters, These changes will make the ballot initiative process too expensive and burdensome for many grassroots efforts - effectively removing power from the people. As people of faith, we oppose any efforts that challenge our ability to participate in the electrocail process and ask you to oppose HJR 102, 70 & 71.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: DANA SANDWEISS		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 7621 WESTMORELAND AVENUE			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63105
EMAIL: danasandweiss@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/25/20	ATE: 22 10:10 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I urge members of the House Elections and Elected Officials Committee to oppose HJR 70 and vote no to diluting the Missouri ballot citizen initiative petition process for Constitutional amendments. I have been involved with several Missouri statewide citizen initiative petition processes. I have collected signatures and talked with voters inside and outside of the STL region. I have organized friends and other voters to talk and learn about the proposed measures. I believe that the current citizen initiative petition process plays a vital role in preserving and stimulating democracy in Missouri by encouraging voters to participate, engage, learn, listen and talk about laws that could govern Missourians. I believe our elected officials should not dilute the current citizen initiative petition process but instead encourage voter participation, encourage voter education, encourage democracy, allow the voices of voters in Missouri to be heard and lifted up. HJR 70 does the exact opposite. Increasing the percentage of votes to pass a citizen-led initiative will most certainly eliminate Missouri grass root efforts and leave the process available to only those with deep pockets and attract large out-of-state interests. This is bad for Missouri, and I urge you to vote no on HJR 70.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·		
TESTIFYING : IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: DAVA-LEIGH BRUSH		PHONE NUMBER 636-287-601		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 3039 NORTHERN LIGHTS DR				
CITY: ARNOLD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63010	
EMAIL: dems22blue@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/26/202	TE: 2 9:47 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

I oppose any bills, alterations or changes to the ballot petition process



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•		
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: DENISE LIEBERMAN		PHONE NUMBER 314-780-183		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI VOTER PROTECTION COALITION DIRECTOR & GENERAL COUNSEL				
ADDRESS: 6047 WATERMAN BLVD.				
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63112	
EMAIL: denise@movpc.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/26/202	TE: 2 10:29 AM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Missouri Voter Protection Coalition Opposes HJR 70. It undermines Missouri's storied citizen initiative process by raising the threshold for passage to near impossible levels, effectively excluding all but the most well-funded efforts from participation, and silencing the voices of Missouri's everyday citizens. Specifically, HJR70 establishes a bizarre two-tiered disparate passage threshold for proposed constitutional amendments, requiring a majority of all registered voters (not votes cast) for citizen-proposed amendments, while maintaining a simple majority vote for legislatively-approved amendments. This presents a near impossible threshold for citizen initiatives. For example, in an election marked by 50% voter turnout (a fairly common turnout rate in non-presidential elections), a citizen-proposed measure would need to capture 100% of all votes cast in order to pass. As a practical matter, this spells a death knoll for citizen initiated proposals. Lawmakers should applaud Missouri's initiative process and eschew efforts to make direct participation more difficult and elusive for Missouri's citizens.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			ATE: /26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □ F	OR INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: DON CROZIER		PHONE NUMBER: 636-293-6864	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1503 BELLEAU LAKE DR			
CITY: O'FALLON		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63366
EMAIL: doncrozier@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 1/25/2022	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HJR 70 increases threshold for passage of a citizen-initiated proposed amendment from a majority of votes cast to requiring a majority of total registered voters, while leaving the simple majority vote threshold for legislatively approved proposed amendments. This diminishes the voice of the average Missouri voter. At a time when faith in democracy is strained, this bill would increase skepticism in government and hurt our political process. It should be rejected.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: ELIZABETH FRANKLIN		PHONE NUMBI 816-682-37	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 6179 SW COOK CIRCLE			
CITY: PLATTSBURG		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64477
EMAIL: eafrank711@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D. 1/25/202	ATE: 22 2:49 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Elizabeth Franklin, Plattsburg MO and I am submitting the following concerns regarding House Joint Resolution Nos. 70 and 71. I do not support these House Joint Resolutions and am respectively submitting testimony in opposition. Missouri has had a citizen petition initiative process for over 100 years and voter confidence is high for laws passed through the petition process. The ballot initiative process is rigorous. Currently only 40% of the constitutional amendments placed on the ballot via citizen petition initiative have passed, proof that the process is demanding and requires much thought by Missouri voters and exceedingly hard work by interest groups who want an initiative on the ballot. The proposed change to increase the threshold for ballot passage from a majority of votes cast to a majority of registered voters (HJR 70) is not realistic. If I understand correctly, this legislation could result in the following scenario. For example, if 10,000 voters are registered when an initiative is place on the ballot, 5001 must vote to pass this initiative. That means if 4000 total votes are cast, the passage of the initiative is impossible even if 100% of those who choose to vote support the measure. How do these mandatory criteria help the ballot initiative process? It seems this is a disincentive to participation, especially when coupled with the increased signature requirements from 8% to 10% in all eight congressional districts (HJR 71). This requirement is not well thought out and will likely result in passage of few if any ballot initiatives and disenfranchise voters. The measure of success should be from those who choose to vote and cast a ballot on election day. MO has no other standard as restrictive and it's unclear why this constraint is needed. Mr. John Ashcroft has stated it best, "It is through the initiative process that those who have no influence with elective representatives may take their cause directly to the people. The General assembly should be reluctant, therefore, to enact legislation which places any impediments on the initiative power which are inconsistent with the reservation found in the Constitution." Wise words that should be heeded by the current Missouri Assembly. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on this very important topic.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: FRANCINE GLASS	5		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: fran813@gmail.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/24/20	DATE: 122 5:24 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

This bill would make it significantly more difficult for Missourians to pass amendments that could meaningfully improve their lives. Please vote NO.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING : IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: GETTA R. HALL		PHONE NUMB 816-483-97	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 3011 E LINWOOD BLVD		·	
CITY: KANSAS CITY,		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64128
EMAIL: getta_hall@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/25/20	ATE: 22 1:00 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Good Day,I am a Golden Life member of both the NAACP and Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Inc., a public service sisterhood founded on Christian principles. I have visited the Missouri Capitol several times over the years. Specifically, I traveled from Kansas City as part of annual Delta Days at the Missouri Capitol and more recently as an advocate of Medicaid Expansion. I was impressed with the accessibility of the legislative process. The hallowed halls were lined with pictures of public servants I respect such as Senator Yvonne Wilson and Representatives Mary Groves Bland, Bill Gray, Jackie McGee and others. These and others live up to their title, The Honorable. Years before, I wrote to then The Honorable Governor John Ashcroft twice. I urged him to divest Missouri funds in an international response to apartheid in South Africa and then enforce the law he signed when the MOSERS Board refused to comply almost 5 years later. I was surprised, both times, he did the honorable thing after I appealed to him citing Biblical principles in the name of Jesus. I supposed as a Republican, he would not sign the Democratic led bill into law. Little did I know, he was the son of a Pentecostal preacher. I try to leave judging people to the Lord God Almighty now.Bishop Desmond Tutu visited UMKC and praised Missouri's forward thinking in this important fight for human rights. Nelson Mandela was subsequently released from prison after 27 years and went on to vote for the first time in a presidential election he won. GLORY TO GOD.I still do not understand how Medicaid Expansion could not pass the Missouri legislature. How could a reasonable group of people refuse federal funding to comply with federal law? Many people have benefited from Affordable Health Care (aka, Obama Care). Missouri's budget has benefitted from the infusion of funds. THE PETITION INITIATIVE PROCESS MUST BE MAINTAINED AS IS. It is an important check to the partisan politics I saw evident in Medicaid Expansion. The failure of the Missouri legislature to act in the best interests of our citizenry was countered by this process to get the bill on the ballot and passed with the current percentage of signatures in the current number of Congressional Districts with the current simple majority. HJR70, 71.70, 88, 91, and 102 are not in the best interests of our democratic process. For more than a century. the process which already requires a high thresh hold of signatures has served us well. A two-thirds majority on any ballot decision or election is unrealistic. How many legislators could garner 2/3 of the vote from their home constituencies? Amazed by His Grace. Sis. Getta R. HallSis. Getta R. Hall



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70		DAT 1/2	re: 26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼IN OPPOSITION TO FOR	RINFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: GREGORY J WOODHAMS		PHONE NUMBER: 816-506-8499	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1240 W 57TH TER			
CITY: KANSAS CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64113
^{EMAIL:} gjwoodhams@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 1/25/2022 1	:39 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Thank you for providing me with the opportunity to testify regarding HJR 70. I am a Missouri resident, living in western Kansas City near the state line. I am opposed to HJR 70 as I believe that it would make the ballot initiative process more difficult, more expensive and would decrease citizen (non-politician) participation in government. The existing ballot initiative process has a 110-year history and has advanced citizen initiatives that have been championed by conservatives, liberals and libertarians; it is not just a tool for one party or one type of political thinking. As examples, conservatives advanced term limits and taxing limitations (the Hancock Amendment) through the ballot initiative process.Most concerning is the requirement of a majority of registered voters (vs the current majority of votes cast). Is any legislator that supports this proposal willing to subject themselves to the same standard? Would they be willing to resign if they do not 51% of the registered voters in their own upcoming elections? The "majority of registered voters" standard would materially weaken the ballot initiative process and reduce the ability of Missouri citizens to participate in government. Recall that the Hancock amendment received 55% approval of votes cast when it passed; under the proposed standard it would not have been approved. Finally, I believe that legislators should be concerned that the proposal reflects a distrust in the citizens of the state of Missouri. The initiative process has been a functioning part of Missouri's government for more than 110 years because it gives citizens the right to independently propose and advance legislation. It has worked for both conservative and liberal causes, and where it has worked it has been because the citizens of the state of Missouri have willingly used their voices in an informed manner.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			OATE: 1 /26/2022	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•		
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ISAAC CLARK		PHONE NUMBER 314-513-235		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS: 1709 CAMPBELL PARKWAY, APARTMENT 15				
CITY: JOPLIN		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64801	
EMAIL: isaac.h.clark.n7@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 1/26/2022	E: 11:02 AM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose the proposed changes to the state constitution contained in HJR 70. Placing further obstacles in the way of popularly supported constitutional amendments is a poorly concealed attempt to further separate Missouri voters from our entrenched political elites, and our ability to correct abuses of power. It is telling that the same proposal failed to pass just last year.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			ATE: 26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: UN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐FC	R INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JACQUELYN FIBER		PHONE NUMBER: 347-878-7654	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 7262 SARAH ST			
CITY: MAPLEWOOD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63143
EMAIL: rafiaron@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 1/24/2022	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

These bills are an overreach and overexpansion of government. Citizens should have more power to initiate and pass bills, not less! Please keep our state a voice of the people.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JAMES ROBINSON		PHONE NUMB	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 172 BRIGHTHURST DRIVE		·	
CITY: CHESTERFIELD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63005
EMAIL: jamesmrobinson117@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/26/20	OATE: 22 9:54 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose HJR 102, HJR 70, HJR 71 because I believe these bills to be big government intrusion upon regular people and would disrupt the checks and balances against government overreach.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JIM KASTEN			PHONE NUME 314-808-5	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 824 LAKE DR.			·	
CITY: HERCULANEUM			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63048
EMAIL: jkasten@cityofhei	rculaneum.gov	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/26/20	DATE: 1 22 11:44 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.

Same as aboveTotally opposed to HJR 70



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JOAN GENTRY		PHONE NUMBE 417-840-45	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 206 E CRESTVIEW		·	
CITY: SPRINGFIELD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65807
EMAIL: joangentry2012@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/24/202	ATE: 2 2 4:56 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

January 24, 2022RE: Written Testimony in opposition to HJR 70TO: Chairman Shaul and Members of the House Elections and Elected Officials Committee,I am writing to you to voice my opposition to HJR 70. The Initiative Petition is one way for Missouri voters to directly address the issues that impact their families and neighborhoods. Our initiative petition process has been in place for 115 years. It is not an easy process, and it has not been abused. Approval of a constitutional amendment submitted by ballot initiative should be based solely on receiving the majority of ballots cast for that particular ballot issue. Whether it passes or fails has nothing to do with the number of registered voters entitled to vote at the most recent qualifying election. Missouri citizens, whether republican or democrat, liberal or conservative, or young or old have used this form of grass roots democratic participation for over 100 years. We are the envy of voters in other states who do not a direct way to have their voices heard.I hope you will vote in opposition to HJR 70.Joan Gentry, 206 E Crestview, 65807



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	▼IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: KANE SHEEK			PHONE NUMI 816-674-9	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION SIERRA CLUB	ON NAME:		TITLE: COMMUN	IITY ORGANIZER
ADDRESS: 2524 E CHERRY S	ST.			
CITY: SPRINGFIELD			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65802
EMAIL: kane.sheek@sierr	aclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 1/26/20	DATE: 022 8:52 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Sierra Club Missouri Chapter opposes House Joint Resolutions 102, 70, and 71. These resolutions would require 8% of the registered voters in each the stateHouse of Representatives Districts, changing from the required 8% of the registeredvoters in two-thirds of the U.S. Congressional districts. This would be a huge increase that would functionally make qualifying a petition impossible. The present threshold to qualify a petition is already very high and requires anenormous amount of labor from citizens. Additionally, requiring a two-thirds vote in a majority of the legislative districts to amendthe constitution makes would make it nearly impossible to pass any citizen initiativePetition, unless campaigns have millions of dollars. The proposed changes will make it so that the process that is only accessible for powerful interests that can afford to pay for it, taking power away from Missouri citizens. This would be a step backwards for democracy in our state. For more than 100 years. Missouri voters from all sides of the political spectrum have relied on ballot measures to directly pass laws from protecting the Right to Farm to constitutional limits on state and local taxation and spending. The Sierra Club Missouri Chapter supports having a strong, responsive democracy toensure the voters' are respected. These proposals would have adverse effect on ourdemocracy, and in turn, our environment. Please vote no on HJR 102, 70, & 71. The 20+ proposed changes to the initiative petition process will not bring about positive reform, but instead silence voters.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	NATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: KATHERINE R. MI	LLER		PHONE NUM 636-866-9	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 957 FLAIR CT			·	
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63146
EMAIL: nelipot@msn.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 1/26/20	DATE: 022 10:49 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

As a citizen of Missouri who values our integrity and freedom, I'm apposed to HJR 102, 70, and 71. The citizen initiative petition process allows the direct participation in government by its people, it already requires a high threshold of signatures from registered voters to have a proposal added to a ballot, as well as a reasonable threshold of support to pass. This is among the highest thresholds for these measures in the country. Democracy is this country's very identity, and lawmakers should be ashamed to support any changes that undermine or oppose it.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT O	OF IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: KATHLEEN DOLSON		PHONE NUMI 314-385-8	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 8340 KNOLLWOOD DR		·	
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63121
EMAIL: kdolson1@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 1/24/20	DATE:)22 12:43 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am STRONGLY against this undemocratic bill. It is absolutely ridiculous to require a majority of REGISTERED voters to approve constitutional amendments that arise from initiative petitions. We ALL KNOW that many many registered voters do not vote, which is exactly why it is being pushed forward. We do NOT require this kind of majority for electing our most important congressional representatives, so why should it be required for anything?!? It only makes it significantly more difficult for Missourians to pass amendments that could meaningfully improve their lives.Please protect Missourians' power to influence the state government and their own lives by voting NO on HJR 70.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected	d Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: LINDA REZNY			PHONE NUMB	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION N	AME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63146
EMAIL: Lrezny@hotmail.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/26/20	OATE: 22 3:42 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Do you trust the citizens of Missouri? Do you think the voters want you to restrict their access to direct democracy? The testimony in support of this current spate of restrictions on the Initiative Petition process has a theme. Protect the gullible voters from the Left by denying them the use of the Initiative Petition process. This is an affront to voters. In a democracy, if you think the voters are being misinformed, you can provide them with better information, but you can not deny them their right to a say. Not trusting the Initiative Petition process is an insult to the voters you would make at your peril. The people of Missouri are already leery of the Legislature. I have talked to voters of every economic group and political persuasion, and they will all tell me that you can not trust the Legislators. The examples they give are the betrayal on the puppy mill bill and the use of casino money as a substitute for State support of education instead of an increase. Dare you insult them again by restricting the Initiative Petition process? You are proposing an amendment to the Constitution, which would require a vote of the people. It is an insult to even propose this. It would further sully the reputation of the Legislature to even present it to the voters. If you were to foolishly put these restrictions on the ballot, can you imagine the themes of the opposition? Don't tread on me! Fight for your rights to the Initiative Petition process! Don't let the Legislature rob you of your rights!or another possible theme from the opposition The Initiative Petition has a long and values history in Missouri. In 1924 it was used to provide funding for the maintenance and construction of the state's highways. In the 1930's the Initiative Petition created the Conservation Commission to manage fish, game, and forest resources. In 1980, the voters adopted the "Hancock Amendment" which limited state and local taxes. In 1992 a term limits were adopted and in 1994 campaign finance reform was enacted by Initiative Petition. Keep this avenue for the voters to have a say in our great State of Missouri!Respect the voters. Respect democracy. Getting the approval of the voters for these restrictions would be a losing battle and another bruise to the Legislature. Let them die here in committee.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: LISA HUMMEL		PHONE NUME 314-862-2	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 7575 STANFORD AVE			
CITY: UNIVERSITY CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63130
EMAIL: lisahummel@sbcglobal.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 1/26/20	DATE: 122 8:59 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The ballot petition process in our state is the only recourse we have to use our power as citizens when the Republican supermajority does outrageous things in our names. For example, the supermajority continually pushes MO to become a right to work for less state, despite the fact that the majority of Missourians have shown that they are against this move. And we are against it with good reason. So called "Right to Work" states have higher poverty and less rights for workers. They give power to the wealthy business owners and take it from the people who do the actual labor and deserve to make a decent living doing it. I oppose any changes to the Ballot Petition Process, including those proposed in HJR 70. If your ideas and proposals are better than the ones being proposed by a ballot initiative, then let them stand on their merits. Make your case to the voters. Don't play dirty tricks by making it harder and harder for Missourians to use the ballot initiative system we have in place. This is the kind of thing that makes people dislike and distrust politicians!



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: LISA KUEHNE			PHONE NUMBI	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63130
EMAIL: ukuramil@gmail.c	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/26/202	ATE: 2 2 9:55 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose the proposed changes to the Ballot Petition Process. The will of the people should not be overturned by a supermajority.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: LORETTA FUGE			PHONE NUM 417-818-1	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 3495 CLAYBANK	RD.			
CITY: MANSFIELD			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65704
EMAIL: drfuge@yahoo.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 1/25/20	DATE:)22 9:18 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED L	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: M'EVIE MEAD			PHONE NUME	BER:
REPRESENTING: ADVOCATES OF F REGION AND SOU	PLANNED PARENTHOO JTHWEST MO	D OF THE ST. LOUIS	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 4251 FOREST PAI	RK AVE			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: mevie.mead@pps	ir.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/26/20	DATE: 1 22 5:22 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	MIS PUBLIC PECOP	D LINDED CHY	DTED 610 PSMo



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED L	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MAGGIE OLIVIA E	EDMONDSON		PHONE NUME 314-368-1	
REPRESENTING: PRO CHOICE MIS	SOURI		POLICY N	IANAGER
ADDRESS: 1210 S VANDEVE	NTER AVE			
CITY: ST.LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63110
EMAIL: maggie@prochoid	cemissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 1/25/20	DATE: 022 10:58 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Pro-Choice Missouri works to protect and expand every Missourian's right to bodily autonomy, to access essential reproductive healthcare, to access accurate information to make the best decisions for ourselves and our families, and to parent our children in safety and dignity. Moreover, we work to ensure reproductive justice for all Missourians, which involves advocating to reduce disparities based on race, income level, insurance coverage status, and other factors. Over the last 3+ years Pro-Choice Missouri has been heavily invested in several ballot initiatives including Medicaid Expansion, Raise up Missouri, and Clean Missouri. We had a team of 7 people who collected more than 5,500 signatures to help get Medicaid Expansion on the ballot. This number is only a fraction of the total 120,000 signatures that were collected by the larger campaign. Everyday our employees got up in the sun, the wind, the snow, and the rain, to speak to strangers on street corners all across the First and Second Congressional Districts. They waited on metro platforms for hours on freezing days being rejected by strangers, hoping for a few good conversations. The most densely populated neighborhoods in St Louis to the most lonely country roads. The ballot initiative process is a sacred constitutional right and an important part of our democracy; it gives voters the freedom to have a say on the laws that govern us, the power to circumvent political division, and the ability to hold state legislators accountable.HJR 70 would make the ballot initiative process prohibitively difficult, because the task is already a monumental one. The measure is retaliatory to the millions of voters who voted in favor of medical marijuana, ethics reform, medicaid expansion, and so much more. Family well-being is directly tied to the ability to effectively participate in our legislative process and affect meaningful change. Missourians have spoken. They may be at ideological odds with some of you on this committee but that does not warrant you taking away their right to have a direct voice in government through this process. A NO vote is a vote to listen to Missourians. These resolutions will have lasting negative impacts in Missouri. Pro-Choice Missouri, representing more than 70,000 members across the state, opposes the advancement of these resolutions, and urges you to vote NO today. Thank you.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1 /26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: MARCIA WEIMER		PHONE NUMBER 636-293-3299	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 364 THOREAU BLVD		·	
CITY: O'FALLON		STATE: FM	ZIP: 63366
EMAIL: mlweimer@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 1/24/2022	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I will NOT vote yes to making it harder for we the people to exercise our constitutional rights. I live in a democratic country. I will not allow fascism to take hold in this state or this country. You work for us. NEVER FORGET THAT YOU WORK FOR US.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70		DAT 1/2	TE: 26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ FOR	INFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: MARLA MARANTZ	F	PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:	٦	TTLE:	
ADDRESS: 2445 E MONTCLAIR CT			
CITY: SPRINGFIELD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65804
EMAIL: mjmarantz@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 1/26/2022 1	2:02 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I can tell you from personal experience the petition process as it exists now is not easy. I am proud that Missouri allows citizens to participate in democracy by electing representatives but also directly having our voices heard through the petition initiative process. As a teacher, a former social worker. and community member, I witnessed the devastating effects of predatory lending on our most vulnerable. Missouri is one of least regulated states in the country and it is legal for predatory lenders, through a loophole created by other changes in banking and lending laws, to charge over 1900% interest on loans. And there are other ways people are tricked into the debt trap, which is the actual business model of some of these businesses. A broad group of faith leaders and other concerned people decided to try to get reform through the initiative process. I worked six hours a day, six and seven days a week collecting signatures. In my town, Springfield, we are limited to only a few locations where we are publicly allowed to collect. The process has deadlines and while door to door is another way, it is much slower. We had hundreds of volunteers and limited money for paid collectors. Many of us were harassed, followed by well-paid blockers, even threatened. It was not easy. The billion dollar a year industry prevailed, challenging signatures, even those of well-known clergy who participated in our efforts. (They didn't sign their own petition.) Although we raised money for lawyer's fees, we could not afford to defend all the frivolous claims on signatures. Petitions initiatives shouldn't be held to a higher standard than our legislature. Raising the bar is a cynical attempt to silence our voices on matters that mean so much to us. Do I agree with all petitions? No, I do not. However, I believe we should have the freedom to petition our government, have access to direct democracy via petition. I want Missouri to be a place where we know we have ways to have our voices heard!



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					_
WITNESS NAME: MARY GROSS			PHONE NUME 314-623-4		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:		_
ADDRESS: 437 BREEZEWOO	D DR				
CITY: BALLWIN			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63011	
EMAIL: marygva@icloud.o	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/26/20	DATE: 122 11:36 AM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Successfully getting a citizens petition initiative on the ballot is already very difficult, requiring enormous hours labor, most of it volunteer. HJR 70 makes it even harder. This in effect is silencing the voice of the voters. It is hard for me to believe that is what this legislative body really wants to do. I am opposed to HJR70.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•
TESTIFYING : UN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MARY NEMECEK		PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: BURROUGHS AUDUBON SOCIETY OF G	REATER KANSAS CITY	TITLE: CONSER	VATION CHAIR
ADDRESS: 7300 SW WEST PARK RD			
CITY: BLUE SPRINGS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64151
EMAIL: msnemecek@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 1/26/2	DATE: 022 11:31 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Burroughs Audubon Society of Greater Kansas City opposes HJR 70 & 71. This bill makes it harder, if not impossible for citizens to participate in governing and shaping the state in which we live. So many of the best thingsabout Missouri have come from citizen led ballot initiatives. These initiatives includes the worldrenowned Missouri Department of Conservation and it's 1/8 of a cent sales tax funding. It also includes the Parks, Soils and Water Tax that funds our world class state parks. This bill puts an unfair, probably impossible, hurdle in the way of citizens bringing important issue to the ballot. We strongly urge you reject both these bills. Burroughs Audubon Society of Greater Kansas City has 2,000 members in the Greater Kansas City area. Our mission is to promotes the appreciation of birds andnature, natural history education, and the conservation of habitat.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MINDY SUE MCIN	TOSH		PHONE NUME 573-406-3	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1224 SLOAN AVE				
CITY: PALMYRA			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63461
EMAIL: msmomac@chart	er.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/26/20	DATE: 1 22 2:15 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

i oppose changes or alterations to the ballot petition initiative program



copenhaver22@sbcglobal.net

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: DATE: **HJR 70** 1/26/2022 COMMITTEE: **Elections and Elected Officials** ☐ IN SUPPORT OF **✓** IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES TESTIFYING: **WITNESS NAME BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:** WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: **NANCY COPENHAVER** 660-263-5725 **BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME** LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MISSOURI **BOARD OF DIRECTORS 1512 RIDGELINE DRIVE** CITY: STATE: MOBERLY MO 65270 EMAIL: SUBMIT DATE: 1/26/2022 2:34 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

ATTENDANCE:

Written

The League of Women Voters of Missouri is opposed to HJR 70. Requiring a proposed Constitutional amendment by initiative petition to be passed ONLY if voted on by a majority of ALL registered voters requires a far more stringent requirement than every other election. Citizens have the freedom of choice whether to vote in any given election, and lack of participation in this case would, in actuality, be a negative vote. Passage of this HJR would obviously show the intention of the legislature to make the initiative process for amending the Constitution nearly impossible, thus depriving the citizens of Missouri the right granted to them under the Constitution.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: NANCY DESLOGE		PHONE NUMBER 314-662-652	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 862 WOOD		·	
CITY: KIRKWOOD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63122
EMAIL: nancy.desloge@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/24/202	TE: 2 5:29 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose HJR 70 because it is an impediment to democracy. The bill makes it even more difficult to get a citizen-led initiative for a Constitutional Amendment on the ballot. It increases the number of signatures by nearly 200,000 to place an initiative on the ballot. It also requires a majority of ALL registered voters as the threshold for approval, which makes approval virtually impossible. By making the ballot initiative process so burdensome and expensive, HJR 70 effectively removes power from the people, which is un-American.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70		DA ⁻ 1/2	TE: 26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐FO	OR INFORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: NANCY SACCONE		PHONE NUMBER: 314-455-2778	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 207 BROOKTRAIL COURT			
CITY: CREVE COEUR		STATE:	ZIP: 63141
EMAIL: nlsaccone@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 1/26/2022 8	:59 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am a Missouri constituent in zip code 63141. I oppose HJR 70 because it will interfere with our ballot initiative process, which is an important part of our democratic process. I am a regular citizen who has volunteered to assist with recent successful ballot initiative efforts. These citizen-driven efforts have led to important and much-needed improvements for Missourians which a majority of us support, including expansion of Medicaid to provide crucial healthcare to under-served Missourians. The ballot initiative process is already difficult. This bill would make it much more difficult, in an unjustifiable way, for citizens to get constitutional amendments passed. I ask our MO legislative representatives to oppose HJR 70 as I do. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: NICOLE COOPER			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63117
EMAIL: ncooper020@gma	il.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/24/20	DATE: 1 22 7:54 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

I am against making it more difficult for Missourians to pass amendments that could meaningfully improve their lives. Protect Missourians' power to influence the state government and their own lives is important. Vote "no."



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DA ⁻ 1/2	TE: 26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	□FOR	INFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED L	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: OTTO FAJEN				HONE NUMBER: 73-634-3202	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI NEA				TLE: EGISLATIVE	DIRECTOR
ADDRESS: 1810 EAST ELM STREET					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY	•			ГАТЕ: IO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL: otto.fajen@mnea.	org	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 1/26/2022 1	2:27 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Association appreciates the opportunity to present written testimony regarding HJR 70. The Association opposes the joint resolution.HJR 70 requires a majority of all registered voters to vote for the approval of any future constitutional amendment submitted by the initiative petition, regardless of the percentage of those actually voting on the measure who vote in favor. This requirement creates a strange incentive that will sometimes discourage eligible and interested voters from voting. The provision would encourage those who oppose a very popular measure not to vote, in the hope that a massive boycott by those in opposition could cause the turnout to drop below 50%, making it impossible for a measure to pass. The Association supports removing barriers for eligible citizens to vote and participate politically. The initiative petition process is already a significant and challenging effort. The Association is concerned that this change would act to discourage voting, and may cause the initiative petition process to no longer be a realistic option for Missouri citizens to bring issues of concern forward for public consideration.On behalf of the Association, I thank you for the opportunity to offer our testimony on this issue.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SHERRY L. BUCHANAN		PHONE NUME 417-529-4			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS: 350 S JOHN Q HAMMONS PKWY, APT 5D					
CITY: SPRINGFIELD		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65806		
EMAIL: sherryb@joplinlawyers.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [1/25/20	DATE: 122 9:29 AM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I strongly oppose HJRs 102, 70, and 71, efforts to amend our Missouri Constitution regarding citizens' rights to petition for new amendments and laws or the right to referendum on laws passed by our legislature. If making direct democracy via petitioning or referendum is the goal of the current legislature, perhaps that is the amendment to put on the ballot. Knowing, however, that a significant majority of Missourians survey in favor of these rights, the strategies proposed in HJRs 102, 70, and 71 appear to be ones of making the petition process untenable without stating so transparently. These proposals have the obvious aim of destroying citizens' direct participation in our democracy but are being couched in deceptive language and falsely promoted as ways to "strengthen" the process.An example of possible deception is the official ballot language included in HJR 71: "Allow only citizens of the United States to qualify as legal voters" as the first point in the ballot language. In order to be a registered voter in Missouri one must be a U.S. citizen, so this language serves no purpose aside from pandering to those who believe that non-citizens are allowed to vote. Missouri's century-old petition process is already sufficiently stringent. Though hundreds of petitions have been filed during this period, only a fraction have made it to ballots. And less than half of proposed issues that made it to the ballot have actually passed with a 50% or greater majority. Increasing the number of signatures required would make costs and efforts prohibitive for almost all constituent factions, liberal or conservative. In addition, increasing the required vote tally to two-thirds would prevent passage of almost all proposals. Examples that show the obstructionism of a two-thirds requirement: Medical marijuana passed with 65.5%; Medicaid Expansion passed with 53.25%; the Hancock Amendment passed with 55.41%; and the citizen-initiated 2018 Amendment 1 regarding lobbying, campaign finance, and redistricting passed by 62% but was overturned by the legislature-initiated Amendment 3 in 2020 with only a 51% majority. One might argue that Missouri would be better off without some of these and several other amendments, but the point relevant to HJRs 102, 70, and 71 is that none of them would have passed at a two-thirds level, so it is obvious that the legislature's goal is to, in truth, eliminate the process. I urge your committee not to forward these proposals for any further action. Thank you, Sherry L. Buchanan, Ph.D.350 S. JQ Hammons Pkwy 5-DSpringfield, MO 65806(417)529-4636



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			TE: 26/2022		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ F	OR INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: STEPHEN W. SKRAINKA 214-518-4015					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS: 7170 WASHINGTON AVENUE					
CITY: ST. LOUIS (UNIVERSITY CITY)		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63130		
EMAIL: steve.skrainka@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 1/25/2022 5			

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

BEFORE THE HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS Testimony Opposing House Joint Resolutions 102, 70, and 71

Hearing: Noon January 26, 2022 Jefferson CityGood Afternoon Chairman Shaul, Vice Chairman McGaugh, Ranking Member Windham, and Honorable Representatives. Thank you for the opportunity testify in writing before you today. I previously submitted testimony to this Committee opposing HJR 91 on January 11, 2022 and opposing HJRs 71, 91, and 102 on January 18. My name is Stephen Skrainka, I live in University City. For identification only I am a member of the Voting Rights Chavurah of the Central Reform Congregation in St. Louis, a member of the League of Women Voters of Metro St. Louis Education Committee, a member of the Jewish Community Relations Council's Policy Advisory Committee, a member of the Council on Racial Equality, and a member of the Missouri Voter Protection Coalition. I am also an attorney and a founder and Past President of Central Reform Congregation. I am testifying as an individual, not as a representative of any of these organizations.

My reasons for opposing these bills are as stated in my prior January 11 and January 18 testimony. This combination of bills only compete in the how much more impossible they make the people's use of this most democratic right to petition to solve people's grievances than the previous resolutions. You have in some ways saved the worst for last: As you know these resolution or some of them increase the number of signers required, require signed petitions from every congressional district, require 2/3 majorities of registered voters to approve (in some Resolutions only for the people's initiatives, not yours), repeatedly show your distrust of all Missourians who live in urban areas by such requirements and in HJR 88 by even requiring a 2/3 majority from every county in the state for a proposition to pass! These proposals throw out the baby with the bath water. Many of you are deeply offended that the public grew tired of the our legislature's near 10-year stall of Medicaid expansion and passed it to cover 275,000 needy Missourians by initiative. Some also resent that when we grew tired of badly gerrymandered representation, more beholden to lobbyists than voters, we tried to do away with it by passing Clean Missouri. Or was it passing medical marijuana that upset you? If that's the problem why not just pass a resolution repealing Missouri's entire 115 year old initiative petition process? It would be more forthright. All of these present resolutions are outrageously antidemocratic and should be killed in this committee. I urge you to look at all the many initiative proposals you would have favored that failed to get enough signatures or votes under the current law and pause before you chop the whole thing down. Many conservative measures have been adopted over the years through this process including limitations on Missouri's budget and spending. The present process is truly non-partisan, quite difficult and expensive, and rarely succeeds as Ben Senturia's January 11 testimony shows. Don't destroy the process merely because you don't agree with the last few measures the people have adopted. Deal with the merits of these proposals instead.

Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70			DATE: 1/26/2022	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•		
TESTIFYING : IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON		PHONE NUMBE 573-353-64		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 310 BERRY STREET				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109	
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/23/202	ATE: 22 5:39 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

This unAmerican resolution transparently seeks to silence the voice of The People



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN SHENDER	2		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 4605 LINDELL BLVD., APT. 1502				
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: susan.shender@ g	ımail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/24/20	OATE: 22 4:12 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo.				

I oppose HJR 70.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		,	
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	▼IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: WILLIAM HARRY	WERNER		PHONE NUME 314-809-18	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 9513 LONGWOOD				
CITY: ST LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63125
EMAIL: Wernewi13@gma i	il.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/26/20	OATE: 22 10:22 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo.				

I'm against the bill



BILL NUMBER: HJR 70				DATE: 1/26/2022
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: YVONNE D REEVI	ES-CHONG		PHONE NUME 573-586-7	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 2 GERALD STREE	ET		·	
CITY: WAYNESVILLE			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65583
EMAIL: seedistheword@g	ımail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 1/26/20	DATE: 1 22 2:25 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo				

Same crap; different day. I strongly oppose all three of these bills!